

Listed below are the questions and answers for RFQ #9600-25

Questions, RFQ #9600-25 Architectural Services Master Agreement

Q: Paragraph 1.1 states that consultants are “to provide construction estimating services” and paragraph 1.2 states this is for “Master Agreements.” Which is correct, and please clarify further?

A: We will be making the following Amendment to RFQ #9600-25 per your question. I'll be posting this on the Natividad website and sending it out to all listed vendors.

Thanks for pointing out this mistake. The Amendment language is below

1.1 The County of Monterey, Natividad Medical Center (NMC) hereinafter referred to as COUNTY, extends an invitation to all qualified Architectural firms, hereinafter known as CONSULTANT(S), to submit their qualifications, experience, and fee schedules to provide Architectural services for projects costing \$100,000 or less each and as outlined in Section 5.0 Scope of Work herein

Q: In relation to the Scope of Work outlined in your RFQ (pages 6 & 7), we would be interested in submitting qualifications for Sections 5.3.1 – Facility Exterior Modifications/Remodels and 5.3.3 – Roofing Repairs/Replacements. Will the County accept a qualifications statement that pertains to only those sections, or is it suggested that we team up with an architect?

A: You would have to team up with an architect this is not engineering services.

Q: Our structural engineer has a liability policy for \$500,000 per claim with \$1,000,000 aggregate but in the RFQ it requests double those numbers. Our office, as architects, holds a policy that meets the liability requirements of \$1,000,000 max per claim and \$2,000,000 aggregate. Is the structural's liability coverage going to be problematic or considered against our RFQ score?

A: The only insurance requirements that need to be met would be by the company contracted by Natividad.

Q: For the “receipt of signed addenda” in Section 1, do we just list the addenda and sign at the bottom? Or will a form be provided?

A: If there is an Addendum before the deadline of the RFQ it will need to be signed and included in your RFQ package.

Q: Section 4, Technical Aspects, requires the contractor to provide a written and signed statement, but it references RFQ #9600-21. This appears to be related to a bid price?

A: It should reference the Master Agreement Package RFQ 9600-25 not 9600-21.

Q: Do you need a signed statement from the architect in Section 4 for RFQ 9600-25? If so, what are the requirements?

A: It is a contractor generated written statement agreeing that the services will include within their proposal (if applicable), all elements necessary to provide services within the stated RFQ.

Q: 1.1 The County of Monterey, Natividad Medical Center (NMC) hereinafter referred to as COUNTY, extends an invitation to all qualified Construction Management firms, hereinafter known as CONSULTANT(S), to submit their qualifications, experience, and fee schedules to provide construction estimating services for projects costing \$100,000 or less each and as outlined in Section 5.0 Scope of Work herein. We are assuming the reference to Construction Management firms is a typo. Please clarify.

A: Addendum #1 is as follows: Please see instructions on the Natividad.com web site. The County of Monterey, Natividad Medical Center (NMC) hereinafter referred to as COUNTY, extends an invitation to all qualified Architectural firms, hereinafter known as CONSULTANT(S), to submit their qualifications, experience, and fee schedules to provide Architectural services for projects costing \$100,000 or less each and as outlined in Section 5.0 Scope of Work herein

Q: Section 3: *Projects* – Conflicting info: RFQ says 3 project examples to be included on Attachment B; it also says 5 project examples in the experience & references section; and in section 8.2.2, it says 4 projects examples - Please clarify how many project examples you would like included in the response.

A: Please provide 5 project examples.

Q: In various sections the RFQ indicates for us to “complete” ATTACHMENT _____. Is it acceptable to deviate from the format as long as the information requested in the ATTACHMENTS is provided?

A: The attachment needs to be listed in the Table of Contents and have all headings in the attachment addressed.

Q: Section 7.2.1 requests five (5) sets of the quals package but later indicates an original and 3 copies plus 1 electronic copy. What is the total count of each "original", "copy", and "electronic" packages requested?

A: 1 – Original / 3 – Copies / 1 – Electronic

Q: Section 1 indicates including GENERAL FIRM INFORMATION in the cover letter. Does this mean that you want Attachment A included here as well as in Section 2?

A: The cover letter should not replicate attachment “A”. The cover letter should have general firm information and contact information. The Attachment “A” should be in Section 2.

Q: Are there invasive procedures planned for the new Cath Lab?

A: A new Cardiac Cath Lab is planned the programming hasn't been established.

Q: What types of procedures are performed, if any, in the CT Scan rooms?

A: A replacement CT Equipment is planned, currently unknown what procedures are to take place.

Q: Will the MRI change in terms of field strength (i.e. 1.5T to a 3T)?

A: A replacement MRI is planned, selection of equipment has not been finalized.

Q: We are assuming the reference to Construction Management firms is a typo. Please clarify.

A: This has been clarified in Amendment 1 of RFQ#9600-25 and has been posted to the web page.

Q:Section 3: *Projects* – Conflicting info: RFQ says 3 project examples to be included on Attachment B; it also says 5 project examples in the experience & references section; and in section 8.2.2, it says 4 projects examples - Please clarify how many project examples you would like included in the response.

A: Please list 5 similar projects which your firm completed within the last 5 years.